UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

2023-07-21

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Learning Objectives

- Motivating Example
- LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM
- LME Examples: Examples 1 3
- Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Model (GLMM): Example 4
- The slides are based on my published work: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.10.030 https://yu-zhaoxia.github.io/MM_in_Neuroscience/

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Motivating Example

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 1: Data

1200 neurons from 24 mice; 5 conditions/groups



UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 1: Data

Ex1=read.csv("https://www.ics.uci.edu/-zhaoxia/Data/BeyondTandANOVA/Example1.txt", head=T)
#Do not forget to factor the treatment IDs and animal IDs
#This is particularly important for the treatment_idx,
#else the values will be treated as numerical values, rather than levels
Ex1\$treatment_idx = as.factor(Ex1\$treatment_idx)
Ex1\$midx = as.factor(Ex1\$midx)
head(Ex1)

##		res	treatment_idx	midx
##	1	1.6326840	1	1
##	2	0.9698389	1	1
##	3	0.5184931	1	1
##	4	0.3031273	1	1
##	5	0.5815271	1	1
##	6	0.5001287	1	1

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 1: Data Visualization

boxplots by R base graphics

```
#Use base graphics
mycolors=rep(1:5, c(7,6,3,3,5)) #different colors for different treatment groups
```

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3



midx



UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Violin plots generated by the vioplot package

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3



midx



UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Fancy plots generated by ggplot2 package

```
plot1=ggplot(Ex1, aes(x = midx, y = res, fill=treatment_idx)) +
geom_violin()
#boaplot within violin plot
plot2=ggplot(Ex1, aes(x = midx, y = res, fill=treatment_idx)) +
geom_violin()+
geom_boxplot(vidth=0.1)
grid.arrange(plot1, plot2, ncol=1, nrow=2)#library(gridExtra)
```

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3



Example 1: The "Familiar" Analysis

summary(aov(res~treatment_idx, data=Ex1))

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
treatment_idx 4 246.6 61.66 108.1 <2e-16 ***
Residuals 1195 681.6 0.57
--## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1</pre>

summary(lm(res~treatment_idx, data=Ex1))

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = res ~ treatment_idx, data = Ex1)
##
## Residuals:
      Min
               10 Median
##
                               30
                                     Max
## -1.7076 -0.5283 -0.1801 0.3816 5.1378
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                1.02619
                            0.03997 25.672 < 2e-16 ***
## treatment_idx2 0.78286 0.05868 13.340 < 2e-16 ***
## treatment idx3 0.81353 0.07551 10.774 < 2e-16 ***
## treatment idx4 0.16058 0.07349 2.185 0.0291 *
## treatment_idx5 -0.36047
                            0.06266 -5.753 1.11e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0 7553 on 1195 degrees of freedom
```

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 1: The "Familiar" Approach for Null Data

- Is the familiar approach valid? We evaluate the method using data generated under the null hypothesis
- We can generate a null data set by permuting the treatment group labels of the animals
- We generate 1000 null data sets and check how many times the familiar approach will reject the null hypothesis of no group difference

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 1: The "Familiar" Approach for Null Data

```
treatment=as.factor(rep(1:5, c(7,6,3,3,5)))
ncell=sapply(split(Exi, Exi$midx), dim)[1,]
#generate pseudo (permuted) 1000 times by randomly
#shuffling the treatment labels across mice
pvalues=rep(NA, 1000)#initialize a vector of p-values
for(i in 1:1000) {
    Ex1.perm = data.frame(res=Ex1$res,
        treatment_idx=rep(sample(treatment),ncell), midx=Ex1$midx)
    pvalues[i]=anova(lm(res=treatment idx, data=Ex1,perm))$"Pr(>F)"[1] }
```

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 1: P-values using 1000 Null Data sets

What does the histogram suggest?

hist(pvalues)



UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Why does LM fail for Example 1?

- This because the observations are not independent
- We can compute Intra-Class Correlation (ICC) to quantify the magnitude of clustering due to animal effects.

	Saline (7 mice)	24h (6 mice)	48h (3 mice)	72h (3 mice)	1wk (5 mice)
# of cells	357.0000000	309.0000000	139.000000	150.000000	245.0000000
ICC	0.6209487	0.3300633	0.017803	0.628109	0.5369458



UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

ICC Analysis of Example 1

- The ICC indicates that the dependency due to clustering is substantial.
- Therefore, the 1,200 neurons should not be treated as 1,200 independent cells.
- When dependence is not adequately accounted for, the type I error rate can be much higher than the pre-chosen level of significance.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

From LM to LME

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LM (incorrect!) for Example 1

Consider Example 1. Let

- Y_{ij} indicate the *j*th observed response of the *i*th mouse.
- x_{ij} be the treatment label, with x_{ij} = 1 for baseline, x_{ij} = 2 for 24 hours, x_{ij} = 3 for 48 hours, x_{ij} = 4 for 72 hours, and x_{ij} = 5 for 1 week after ketamine treatments.
- In the inner mathematical computation, four dummy variables, which take value 0 or 1, are generated: x_{ij,1} = 1 for 24 hours, x_{ij,2} = 1 for 48 hours, x_{ij,3} = 1 for 72 hours, and x_{ij,4} = 1 for 1 week after ketamine treatments, respectively.

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + x_{ij,1}\beta_1 + \ldots + x_{ij,4}\beta_4 + \epsilon_{ij}$$

i = 1, ..., 24; j = 1, ..., n_i;

where n_i is the number of observations from the *i*th mouse.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME for Example 1

The 1200 observations are clustered by animal. We account for the resulting dependence by adding an animal specific effect, as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + x_{ij,1}\beta_1 + \ldots + x_{ij,4}\beta_4 + u_i + \epsilon_{ij},$$

$$i = 1, \ldots, 24; j = 1, \ldots, n_i;$$

where

- u_i indicates the deviance between the overall intercept β₀ and the mean specific to the *i*th mouse
- *e_{ij}* represents the deviation in pCREB immunoreactivity of observation (cell) *j* in mouse *i* from
 the mean pCREB immunoreactivity of mouse i
- ($\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$) are assumed to be fixed but unknown
- (u₁, · · · , u₂₄) are treated as independent and identically distributed random variables from a normal distribution with mean 0 and a variance parameter that reflects the variation across animals.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME for Example 1

- Similar to the treatment variable, for the animal ID variable, the users do not need to define the dummy variables, which are generated by R automatically in its inner working.
- Thus, equivalently, one could write the previous equation by using a vector (z_{ij,1},..., z_{ij,24}) of dummy variables for the cluster/animal memberships such that z_{ij,k} = 1 for i = k and 0 otherwise:

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + x_{ij,1}\beta_1 + \ldots + x_{ij,4}\beta_4 + z_{ij,1}u_1 + \ldots + z_{ij,24}u_{24} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, 24; j = 1, \ldots, n_i;$

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME for Example 1

Y_{ij} is modeled by three components:

- the fixed-effects from the covariates (x_{xij,1},..., x_{ij,4}) and the overall intercept β₀, which is the population mean of the reference group in this example
- the random-effects due to the clustering $(z_{ij,1}, \ldots, z_{ij,24})$
- the random errors e_{ij}'s

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

R Packages for LME

- Two major packages are 'nlme' and 'lme4'.
- Syntax:
 - 'nlme::lme(res~treatment_idx, data= Ex1, random = ~ 1|midx)'
 - 'Ime4::Imer(res ~ treatment_idx+(1|midx), data=Ex1)'
- Note that, similar to the fixed effects, for the random-effects, we don't need to created the dummy variables. This will be done internally by R.
- For the fixed-effects (treatment_idxhere), make sure that it is a factor, not numerical, as the levels "1-5" denote different times points
- For the random-effects from "midx" (mice), R treated it as a factor with different levels (animals)

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LM and LME: Matrix Format

$\blacktriangleright \text{ LM: } Y = X\beta + \epsilon$

- a linear predictor $X\beta$
- random errors e are independent, have a zero mean and a constant variance.
- ϵ ~ N(0, σ²I) is used for deriving t- and F-tests.
 Typically this assumption is not very critical as long as
 the sample size is not too small
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ LME: } Y = X\beta + Z\mathbf{u} + \epsilon$
 - fixed-effects: a linear predictor Xβ
 - ▶ random-effects: $Z\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} \sim N(0, G)$. E.g., $G = \sigma_b^2 \mathbf{I}$.
 - **•** random errors: $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$, independent with \mathbf{u} .

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

GLM and GLMM

- The components of GLM:
 - a linear predictor $X\beta$
 - a link function to connect E(Y|X) and Xβ:
 g(E(Y|X)) = Xβ
 - a distribution for Y given E(Y|X)
- The components of GLMM:
 - fixed-effects: a linear predictor Xβ
 - ▶ random-effects: $Z\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} \sim N(0, G)$. E.g., $G = \sigma_b^2 \mathbf{I}$.
 - a link function to connect $E(Y|X, \mathbf{u})$ and $X\beta$: $g(E(Y|X, \mathbf{u})) = X\beta + Z\mathbf{u}$
 - a distribution for Y given E(Y|X)

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME Examples: Example 1

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME Examples: Example 2

The nlme:lme function specifies the fixed effects in the formula
(first argument) of the function, and the random effects
as an optional argument (random=). The vertical bar / denotes that
the cluster is done through the animal id (midx)
obj.lme=lme(restreatment_idx, data= Ex1, random = ~ 1|midx, method="ML")
summary(obj.lme)Strable

##		Value	Std.Error	DF	t-value	p-value
##	(Intercept)	1.0008500	0.1750995	1176	5.7158919	1.382236e-08
##	$treatment_idx2$	0.8191952	0.2577129	19	3.1787124	4.944475e-03
##	treatment_idx3	0.8427397	0.3200466	19	2.6331777	1.638113e-02
##	$treatment_idx4$	0.1896571	0.3197681	19	0.5931081	5.601033e-01
##	$treatment_idx5$	-0.3202969	0.2713859	19	-1.1802269	2.524757e-01

The results from LME is more realistic

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

summary(obj.lme)

```
## Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood
    Data: Ex1
##
          ATC
                  BIC
##
                         logLik
##
     2272 961 2308 592 -1129 481
##
## Random effects:
## Formula: ~1 | midx
##
          (Intercept) Residual
## StdDev: 0.4545821 0.5995347
##
## Fixed effects: res ~ treatment idx
##
                      Value Std.Error
                                        DF t-value p-value
## (Intercept)
                  1.0008500 0.1750995 1176 5.715892 0.0000
## treatment idx2 0.8191952 0.2577129 19 3.178712 0.0049
## treatment_idx3 0.8427397 0.3200466 19 2.633178 0.0164
## treatment_idx4 0.1896571 0.3197681 19 0.593108 0.5601
## treatment idx5 -0.3202969 0.2713859
                                        19 -1.180227 0.2525
   Correlation:
##
##
                 (Intr) trtm_2 trtm_3 trtm_4
## treatment_idx2 -0.679
## treatment_idx3 -0.547 0.372
## treatment_idx4 -0.548 0.372 0.300
## treatment idx5 -0.645 0.438 0.353 0.353
##
## Standardized Within-Group Residuals:
##
          Min
                     Q1
                               Med
                                           Q3
                                                     Max
## -2.5410173 -0.5737059 -0.1133680 0.4733263 8.8578521
##
## Number of Observations: 1200
## Number of Groups: 24
```

Motivating Example From LM to LMF LM. LME. GLM. and GLMM LME Examples: Example 2 LME Examples: Example 3 **GLMM: Example**

anova(obj.lme)

##		numDF	denDF	F-value	p-value	
##	(Intercept)	1	1176	179.66421	<.0001	
##	treatment_idx	4	19	5.89455	0.0029	

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME Examples: Example 2

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME Examples: Example 2

- Research question: determine how in vivo calcium (Ca++) activity of PV cells (measured longitudinally by the genetically encoded Ca++ indicator GCaMP6s) changes over time after ketamine treatment
- Study: Ca++ event frequencies were measured at 24h, 48h, 72h, and 1 week after ketamine treatment in four mice
- Want to compare Ca++ event frequency at 24h to the other three time points.
- In total, Ca++ event frequencies of 1,724 neurons were measured.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 2: Data

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

library(nlme) library(lme4)	LM, LN and GL
library(lmerTest)	
<pre>Ex2=read.csv("https://www.ics.uci.edu/~zhaoxia/Data/BeyondTandANOVA/Example2.txt", head=T)</pre>	LME E
Ex2\$treatment_idx=Ex2\$treatment_idx-4	Exampl
Ex2\$treatment_idx=as.factor(Ex2\$treatment_idx)	
### covert the variable of mouse IDs to a factor	
Ex2\$midx=as.factor(Ex2\$midx)	

Example 2: Wrong analysis

lm.obj=lm(res~treatment_idx, data=Ex2)
summary(lm.obj)\$coefficients

##		Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
##	(Intercept)	0.71490545	0.01233741	57.9461618	0.000000e+00
##	$treatment_idx2$	-0.07802047	0.01701121	-4.5864155	4.835037e-06
##	treatment_idx3	0.00914741	0.01718859	0.5321791	5.946707e-01
##	treatment_idx4	0.04971562	0.01633230	3.0440051	2.369903e-03

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 2: Wrong analysis

- The LM (including ANOVA, t-test) analysis results indicate
 - ► significantly reduced Ca++ activity at 48h relative to 24h with $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-6}$
 - significantly increased Ca++ activity at 1week compared to 24h with p = 2.4 × 10⁻³
 - However, if we account for repeated measures due to cells clustered in mice using LME, the changes are no longer significant

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 2: LME

lmer.obj=lmerTest::lmer(res-treatment_idx+(1|midx), data= Ex2, REML="FALSE")
summary(lmer.obj)\$coefficients

##		Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
##	(Intercept)	0.699786009	0.03484986	4.901964	20.0800262	6.756672e-06
##	$treatment_idx2$	-0.017490109	0.01726513	1723.485832	-1.0130306	3.111877e-01
##	treatment_idx3	0.009353984	0.01657856	1720.292658	0.5642219	5.726767e-01
##	treatment_idx4	0.029448530	0.01656107	1719.621372	1.7781780	7.555129e-02

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 2: LM vs LME

Estimated changes of Ca+ event frequency (the baseline is 24h after treatment)

	48h	72h	1wk
LM est	$-0.078 \pm .017$	$0.009{\pm}0.017$	$0.050{\pm}0.016$
LM p	$4.8 imes10^{-6}$	0.595	$2.4 imes10^{-3}$
LME est	-0.017 ± 0.017	$0.009{\pm}0.017$	$0.029{\pm}0.017$
LME p	0.311	0.573	0.076

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Pooling data naively is not a good idea



UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

GLMM: Example 4

Figure 1: The boxplots of Ca++ event frequencies measured at four time points. (A) Boxplot of Ca++ event frequencies using the pooled neurons from four mice. (B) boxplots of Ca++ event frequencies stratified by individual mice.

Pooling data naively is not a good idea

- Consider the change in Ca++ activities from 24h to 48h
- Pooled data from all mice:
 - The box plots suggest reduction in Ca++ activities
- Individual mice data:
 - The box plots of Mouse 2 suggest a noticeable reduction
 - However, there was almost no change in Mouse 1
 - Mouse 3 and Mouse 4 might suggest small increases, rather than decreases

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Pooling data naively is not a good idea

Why do the pooled data follow the pattern of Mouse 2?

	24h	48h	72h	1wk	Total
Mouse 1	81	254	88	43	466(27%)
Mouse 2	206	101	210	222	739 (43%)
Mouse 3	33	18	51	207	309 (18%)
Mouse 4	63	52	58	37	210 (12%)
Total	383	425	407	509	1,724 (100%)

Mouse 2 contributed 43% cells!

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Remark: on the minimum number of levels for using random-effects

In Example 2, the number of levels in the random-effects variable is four, as there are four mice.

 According to Gelman and Hill 2006, it does not hurt to use random-effects in this situation.

There is no unique answer on the minimum number of levels for using random-effects. UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Remark: on the minimum number of levels for using random-effects

- An alternative is to include the animal ID variable as factor with fixed animal effects.
- Neither of two approaches is the same as fitting an LM to the pooled cells naively.
- In a more extreme case, for an experiment using only two monkeys for example,
 - naively pooling data (such as neurons) is NOT recommended.
 - a more appropriate approach is to analyze the animals separately and then check whether the results from the two animals are consistent

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LME Examples: Example 3

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 3: Data Structure

- Ca++ event integrated amplitudes are compared between baseline and 24h after ketamine treatment.
- 1244 cells were sampled from 11 mice
- each cell was measured twice (baseline and after ketamine treatment)
- correlation arises from both cells and animals, which creates a three-level structure:
 - measurements within cells and cells within animals.

library(nlme) 4 library(lme4) library(lmeTrest) Ex3=read.csv("https://www.ics.uci.edu/-zhaoxia/Data/BeyondTandANOVA/Example3.txt", head=T)

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

```
GLMM: Example 4
```

Example 3: LM vs LME

summarv(lme.obi1)

```
### wrong analysis: using the linear model
summary(lm(res-treatment, data=Ex3[!is.na(Ex3$res),])) #0.0036
#### wrong analysis using t tests (paired or unpaired)
t.test(Ex3[Ex3$treatment==1,"res"], Ex3[Ex3$treatment==2,"res"], var.eq=T)
t.test(Ex3[Ex3$treatment==1,"res"], Ex3[Ex3$treatment==2,"res"])
t.test(Ex3[Ex3$treatment==1,"res"], Ex3[Ex3$treatment==2,"res"], paired=T)
#LME
lme.obj1=lme(res- treatment, random =-1| midx/cidx,
```

data= Ex3[!is.na(Ex3\$res).]. method="ML")

```
UC Irvine
ISI-BUDS 2023
Day 10:
Mixed-Effects
Models
```

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Example 3: LM vs LME

- LME and LM produce similar estimates for the fix-effects coefficients
- the standard error of the LM is larger; the p-value based on LME is smaller (0.0036 for LM vs 0.0001 for LME).
- In this example, since the two measures from each cell are positively correlated, the variance of the differences is smaller when treating the data as paired rather than independent.
- As a result, LME produces a smaller p-value
- Rigorous statistical analysis is not a hunt for the smallest p value (commonly known as p-hacking or significance chasing)

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3



Figure 2: (Left) the scatter plot of Ca++ event integrated amplitude at baseline vs 24h after treatment for the neurons from four mice (labeled as 1, 2, 3 and 4) indicates that the baseline and after-treatment measures are positively correlated. (Right) boxplot of the baseline and after-treatment correlations of the 11 mice. UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

A note on "nested" random effects

- When specifying the nested random effects, we used "random =~1| midx/cidx".
- This leads to random effects at two levels: the mouse level and the cells-within-mouse level.
- This specification is important if same cell IDs might appear in different mice.
- When each cell has its unique ID, just like "cidx" variable in Example 3, it does not matter and "random =list(midx=~1, cidx=~1)" leads to exactly the same model.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

A note on "nested" random effects	UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10:
	Zhaoxia Yu
	Motivating Example
	From LM to LME
<pre>### to verify that the cell IDs are indeed unique length(unique(Ex3\$cidx))</pre>	and GLMM
<pre>#lme.obj2 is the same as lme.obj Ime.obj2=lme(res- treatment, random =list(midx=-1, cidx=-1), data=Ex3[!is.na(Ex3\$res),], met summary(loo, ob:)</pre>	LME Examples: hEaample)1
Summary (Line. 00)27	LME Examples: Example 2
	GLMM: Example

4

On models with more random effects

- The above LME model only involves random intercepts.
- There might be random effects due to multiple sources.
- A model with more random-effects might be a better choice.
- Visualization is a useful exploratory tool to help identify an appropriate model.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

On models with more random effects



Figure 3: Ca++ event integrated amplitudes at baseline vs 24h after treatment for the neurons from four mice (labeled as A, B, C and D) with each dot representing a neuron. The four plots on the left are "spaghetti" plots of the four animals with each line representing the values at baseline and 24h after treatment for a neuron; the four plots on the right report the before-after scatter plots (with fitted straight lines using a simple linear regression)

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Compare Models with Different Random Effects

Skipped. See Example 3 of https://yu-zhaoxia.github.io/MM_in_Neuroscience/

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

On models with more random effects

- Tests can be used to compare models with different random effects
 - Need to be careful. See 6.4 of https://yu-zhaoxia.github.io/MM_in_Neuroscience/
- For example 3, the model I chose have the following random-effects:

"random=list(midx=~1, cidx=~treatment)"

- It improves Ime.obj1 substantially.
- Adding more random-effects does not lead to further improvement

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

GLMM: Example 4

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Model (GLMM)

The components of aGLMM:

- fixed-effects: a linear predictor $X\beta$
- ▶ random-effects: $Z\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} \sim N(0, G)$. E.g., $G = \sigma_b^2 \mathbf{I}$.
- a link function to connect $E(Y|X, \mathbf{u})$ and $X\beta$:

$$g(E(Y|X,\mathbf{u})) = X\beta + Z\mathbf{u}$$

a distribution for Y

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

GLMM Examples: A Simulated Data Set

- The simulation used parameters estimated from real data
- Eight mice were trained to do task
- The behavior outcome is whether the animals make the correct predictions
 - 512 trials in total: 216 correct trials, 296 wrong trials
- Mean neuronal activity levels (dF/F) were recorded for each trial
- We would like to model behaviors using neuronal data (decoding)

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Use Ime4::glmer to fit a GLMM

Motivating

library(lme4) Example library(pbkrtest) waterlick=read.table("https://www.ics.uci.edu/~zhaoxia/Data/BeyondTandANOVA/waterlick sim.txt^Fvonead4T)b LME summary(waterlick)

lick dff ## mouseTD ## Min ·1.000 Min ·0.0000 Min :-8.838 ## 1st Qu.:2.000 1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.: 1.240 Median :4.500 Median :0.0000 Median : 4.702 ## Mean :4.527 Mean :0.4219 : 4.810 ## Mean 3rd Qu.:6.000 3rd Qu.:1.0000 3rd Qu.: 8.426 ## Max. :8.000 Max. :1.0000 :20.456 ## Max.

#change the mouseID to a factor waterlick[,1]=as.factor(waterlick[,1]) LM. LME. GLM. and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Use Ime4::glmer to fit a GLMM

obj.glmm=glmer(lick-dff+(1|mouseID), data=waterlick,family="binomial") #summary(obj.glmm) #compute increase in odds and a 95% CI exp(c(0.06235, 0.06235-1.96*0.01986, 0.06235+1.96*0.01986))-1

[1] 0.06433480 0.02370091 0.10658157

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Interpret GLMM results

- The estimate of odd is 6.4% increase and a 95% confidence interval is 2.3% to 10.7%
- The interpretation of the fixed effects for GLMM is complicated by both
 - the random effects and
 - non-linear link functions
- Among typical mice, the odds of making correct licks increased by 6.4% (95% C.I.: 2.4%-10.7%) with one unit increase in dF/F.

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

LRT test

Likelihood ratio test can be done by comparing the model with and the model without the "dff" variance (neuronal activity). Large-sample approximation is used.

```
#fit a smaller model, the model with the dff variable removed
obj.glmm.smaller=glmer(lick-(11mouseID),
data=waterlick,family="binomial")
#use the anova function to compare the likelihoods of the two models
anova(obj.glmm, obj.glmm.smaller)
#alternatively, one can use the "drop1" function to test the effect of dfff
drop1(obj.glmm, test="Chiaq")
```

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Improve accuracy of p-values

- The large-sample approximations in GLMM might not be accurate
- We show how to conduct a parametric bootstrap test

```
#The code might take a few minutes
PBmodcomp(obj.glmm, obj.glmm.smaller)
```

 By default, 1000 samples were generated to obtain an empirical null distribution of the likelihood ratio statistic UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Convergence Issues

GLMM is harder to converge than LME.

- Increase the number of iterations
- Switch to a different numerical maximization methods
- Modify models such as eliminate some random effects

https://rstudio-pubs-static.s3.amazonaws.com/33653_ 57fc7b8e5d484c909b615d8633c01d51.html

https://bbolker.github.io/mixedmodels-misc/glmmFAQ.html https://m-clark.github.io/posts/2020-03-16-convergence/ UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3

Convergence Issues

 Consider more robust methods such generalized estimating equation (GEE)

Oftentimes, Bayesian approaches are easier to converge

UC Irvine ISI-BUDS 2023 Day 10: Mixed-Effects Models

Zhaoxia Yu

Motivating Example

From LM to LME

LM, LME, GLM, and GLMM

LME Examples: Example 1

LME Examples: Example 2

LME Examples: Example 3